



The National Football Foundation and College Hall of Fame, Inc.

22 Maple Avenue, Morristown, NJ 07960



FOR RELEASE: Embargoed Until 3:30 PM ET, December 8, 2002

CONTACT: Rick Walls, NFF Director of Operations
or Matt Sweeney, Special Projects Coordinator 973-829-1933

2002 FINAL BOWL CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES STANDINGS

(Games Through Dec. 7, 2002)

	AP	USA Today/ESPN	Poll Avg.	Anderson & Hester	Billingsley	Colley Matrix	Massey	New York Times	Sagarin	Wolfe	Comp. Avg.	Schedule Strength	Schedule Rank	Loss Record	Sub-total	Quality Win	Total
1. Miami	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1.17	19	0.76	0	2.93		2.93
2. Ohio State	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	3	2	1	1.67	20	0.80	0	4.47	- 0.5	3.97
3. Georgia	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	3.17	5	0.20	1	8.37		8.37
4. Southern Cal	5	5	5	5	6	4	3	2	4	4	3.67	1	0.04	2	10.71	- 0.2	10.51
5. Iowa	3	3	3	4	5	5	8	5	5	5	4.83	49	1.96	1	10.79		10.79
6. Washington St.	7	7	7	8	9	8	5	10	6	6	7.00	21	0.84	2	16.84	- 0.7	16.14
7. Oklahoma	8	8	8	7	4	7	7	6	8	7	6.33	14	0.56	2	16.89	- 0.1	16.79
8. Kansas State	6	6	6	15	11	13	10	8	12	10	10.67	54	2.16	2	20.83	-0.7	20.13
9. Notre Dame	11	12	11.5	6	8	6	6	14.5	7	8	6.83	15	0.60	2	20.93		20.93
10. Texas	9	9	9	10	7	9	11	12	9	11	9.50	22	0.88	2	21.38	- 0.3	21.08
11. Michigan	12	11	11.5	9	16	10	9	9	10	9	9.33	2	0.08	3	23.91		23.91
12. Penn State	10	10	10	12	14	14	15	11	16	14	13.33	16	0.64	3	26.97		26.97
13. Colorado	14	14	14	14	23	16	14	17	14	16	15.17	10	0.40	4	33.57	- 0.3	33.27
14. Florida State	16	16	16	13	24	12	13	19	13	13	13.83	3	0.12	4	33.95		33.95
15. West Virginia	15	13	14	19	15	17	19	16	19	18	17.33	41	1.64	3	35.97		35.97

EXPLANATION:

Poll Average – Average of the AP Media Poll and USA Today/ESPN Coaches Poll. Others receiving votes calculated in order received.

Computer Average – Average of Anderson & Hester, Richard Billingsley, Colley Matrix, Kenneth Massey, New York Times, Jeff Sagarin's USA Today, and the Peter Wolfe rankings. The computer component will be determined by averaging six of the seven rankings. The lowest (worst) computer ranking will be disregarded.

Schedule Rank – Rank of schedule strength compared to other Division I-A teams of actual games played divided by 25. This component is calculated by determining the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponent (66 2/3 percent) and the cumulative won/loss records of the team's opponents' opponents (33 1/3 percent).

Losses – One point for each loss during the season.

Quality Win Component - The quality win component will reward to varying degrees teams that defeat opponents ranked among the top 10 in the weekly standings. The bonus point scale will range from a high of 1.0 points for a win over the top ranked team to a low of 0.1 for a victory over the 10th-ranked BCS team. The BCS Standings at the end of the season will determine final quality win points. If a team registers a victory over a team more than once during the regular season, quality points will be awarded just once. Quality win points are based on the standings determined by the subtotal. The final standings are reconfigured to reflect the quality win point deduction.

Notes: 1. Teams on NCAA probation (i.e. not eligible for postseason competition) are not listed in the BCS Standings. Teams with victories over teams on probation will receive appropriate quality win points. 2. The Tostitos Fiesta Bowl on January 3, 2003, will host the BCS National Championship Game and determine which team will be presented The National Football Foundation and College Hall of Fame's MacArthur Trophy, awarded to college football's National Champion since 1959, as well as the Circuit City Trophy on behalf of the American Football Coaches Association.